Congress of the United States

Washington, DC 20510

December 16, 2021

The Honorable Xavier Becerra Secretary of Health and Human Services U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 200 Independence Ave, SW Washington, DC 20201

Secretary Becerra:

Faith-based child welfare providers perform vital services for hundreds of thousands of foster children nationwide and are often the best at finding forever homes for children in need. Alongside secular child welfare providers, faith-based organizations provide support, resources, and care to the nearly half a million kids who have found themselves in foster care through no fault of their own.

The freedom to work with faith-based agencies is vital to many foster families. Many studies have shown that faith is often a key motivator in a family's decision to foster children. Families recruited through church or religious organizations on average foster more than two and a half years longer than other foster parents. One study found that 82 percent of families cited faith or church support as a factor that facilitated successful fostering. Another study revealed that 36 percent of families recruited by one religious organization would not have become foster or adoptive parents were it not for that organization's efforts.

Furthermore, states that have pushed faith-based providers out of the picture have seen a measured decrease in their ability to respond to the foster care crisis. For example, in the eight years after Illinois passed a law ending its partnerships with faith-based agencies, the state lost over 5,000 foster homes. Massachusetts lost 2,000 foster families between 2014 and 2019.

These are loving homes that we cannot afford to lose in the middle of a national foster care crisis. According to the Administration for Children & Families' annual Adoption and Foster Care Analysis and Reporting System (AFCARS) report, 407,493 children are in foster care, 117,470 of whom are waiting to be adopted. With these staggering numbers in mind, our primary goal should be safe, loving, and permanent placements for all children.

We find it disturbing that one day before it released its 2021 AFCARS report, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)—with your express approval— announced the rescission of waivers that were previously granted to faith-based adoption and foster care agencies in Michigan, Texas, and South Carolina. As you know, rescinding the waivers puts providers in these states in the untenable position of choosing between serving children under the Title IV-E foster care program or operating in accordance with the tenets of their faith – the same faith that

drives them to serve children in the first place. HHS should be *welcoming* child welfare providers, not *excluding* them. Children are too important to be pawns in political games.

As you know, the waivers acknowledged that prospective foster parents of all faiths, or no faith at all, have options for agencies that will work with their particular circumstances. As a result, your precipitous actions create no winners, only losers in the form of children, parents, religious freedom, and the rule of law.

On April 10, 2018, a dozen United States Senators sent a letter to then-Secretary Alex Azar concerning the Obama administration's grants rule, 45 C.F.R. § 75.300, which wrongfully targeted a number of faith-based child welfare providers, forcing them to forgo their sincerely held religious beliefs to qualify for grants. Miracle Hill Ministries, South Carolina's oldest and largest provider of foster families for foster children, for example, would have lost access to Title IV-E funding under the Obama rule. The Trump administration rightly recognized that the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) entitled certain faith-based agencies protection from exclusion from the program because of their faith, including through application of 45 C.F.R. § 75.102(b).

Earlier this year, a unanimous Supreme Court in *Fulton v. City of Philadelphia* validated the principle that religious adoption agencies have a right to be free from discriminatory exclusion from adoption and foster care programs because of their beliefs. The Supreme Court found that "the refusal of Philadelphia to contract with Catholic Social Services for the provision of foster care services unless it agrees to certify same-sex couples as foster parents cannot survive strict scrutiny, and violates the First Amendment." However, HHS and the Biden administration appear to be ignoring the Court's clear direction that religious foster care agencies cannot be shut down because of their religious beliefs.

Philadelphia's attempt to eradicate faith-based foster care was not only unconstitutional, but also detrimental to the goal of finding enough loving homes to meet the city's great need. The Court noted that "[i]f anything, including CSS in the program seems likely to increase, not reduce, the number of available foster parents."

What was clear to the Supreme Court—and what is borne out in the empirical data—does not appear to be clear to you. In the very moment when your agency should be taking action to expand opportunities for children and families within the foster care system, you are instead taking actions that will reduce options for the 407,493 children in foster care.

Worse still, you have personally stripped the Office for Civil Rights (OCR) of existing authority to receive, handle, investigate, and oversee resolution of complaints under RFRA and the religion clauses of the First Amendment. 86 F.R. 67,067 (Nov. 24, 2021). On June 2021, You were questioned specifically and extensively in Congressional hearings on whether you were planning to limit or shut down conscience and religious freedom enforcement at the Office for Civil Rights and in response you said "we, under the Office of Civil Rights will be protecting those rights" and "we will continue to provide protections for the civil constitutional rights of all Americans including those that involve religion and so nothing there changes." With these and other anti-religious freedom actions you have taken, you have betrayed your promise.

The November 18 announcements made by HHS's Administration for Children and Families (ACF) and OCR regarding the rescission of waivers, and your Federal Register notice of November 24, raise a number of questions. We request your full and complete responses to the requests and questions below no later than January 15, 2022. Please provide a response below each question instead of a narrative response.

- 1. Identify all outside persons or groups that communicated to HHS advocating for the revocation of the waivers.
- 2. Identify all outside persons or groups that communicated to HHS advocating for the revocation of the RFRA and First Amendment delegations of authority to OCR.
- 3. Identify who originated the idea to revoke the waivers.
- 4. Identify who originated the idea to revoke the delegations of authority.
- 5. Produce all documents, memos, and communications from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 discussing or seeking approval of the revocations of the waivers.
- 6. Produce all documents, memos, and communications from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 discussing or seeking approval of the revocations of the delegations of authority.
- 7. Produce all documents from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 approving the revocation of the waivers.
- 8. Produce all documents from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 approving the revocation of the delegations of authority.
- 9. Produce all communications from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 sent to outside individuals, groups, entities, or parties, including non-profits, advocacy organizations, states and state agencies, concerning the revocations of the waivers before and after they were approved.
- 10. Produce all communications from January 20, 2021 until December 1, 2021 sent to outside individuals, groups, entities, or parties, including non-profits, advocacy organizations, states and state agencies, concerning the revocations of the delegations of authority before and after they were approved.
- 11. Were any career professionals in the Conscience and Religious Freedom Division consulted (not merely informed) before the revocation of the waivers? If not, explain in detail why not. If so, did any oppose the revocation of the waivers?
- 12. Were any career professionals in the Conscience and Religious Freedom Division consulted (not merely informed) before the revocation of the delegations of authority? If not, explain in detail why not. If so, did any oppose the revocation of the delegations of authority?
- 13. Please provide us with a legal analysis for how HHS reached the decision to rescind each waiver. Include all internal communications and documentation used to consider the necessity of the waivers and all analysis that was done on the potential impact of the decision *before* the revocations were decided.
- 14. Please provide us with a legal analysis for how HHS reached the decision to rescind each delegation of authority. Include any internal communication and documentation used to consider the necessity of the delegations and all analysis that was done on the potential impact of the decision *before* the revocations were decided.

- 15. In ACF's letter to South Carolina Governor Henry McMaster, ACF mentions that "accommodations could have been explored to address the specific religious burden identified by Miracle Hill." Why was there no outreach to South Carolina or Miracle Hill to explore the aforementioned accommodations before revoking these waivers? Will Texas and Michigan and faith-based providers there be afforded consideration of potential accommodations?
- 16. Did you consult with the Governors or Attorneys General of South Carolina, Texas, or Michigan or any representatives of their offices prior to revoking the waivers?
- 17. Your agency appeared to justify the decision to rescind the waivers by stating that they had applied to "other similarly situated entities," instead of applying only to the specific individual entities (Miracle Hill, St. Vincent, and Archdiocese of Galveston-Houston). Why didn't HHS leave in place the waivers for those individual entities if the justification was that the waiver encompassed similarly situated entities?
- 18. Will HHS commit to maintaining the notice of non-enforcement for the 2016 grants rule and disavow its enforcement moving forward, or will HHS continue to reserve its right to revoke the notice of non-enforcement at any time?
- 19. Yes or no, will HHS exclude from the Title-IV E program religious adoption and foster care providers that cannot assist in the placement of children in same-sex households without violating their religious exercise when those households have alternative providers available to assist them in the state?
- 20. Identify every civil rights statute applicable to your agency that does not have a delegation of authority assigned to any particular component of HHS for handling of complaints.

Despite being in the middle of a pandemic, your focus on reversing structural and individual religious freedom protections—protections which have worked well—demonstrate that you are placing ideology over the interests of the law, children, and faith-based partners who only want to continue serving their communities in peace. It is our hope that you will promptly correct these unprecedented actions and abide by your promises made to Congress.

Sincerely,

Tim Scott United States Senator

John Thune United States Senator

Jel Norman

Ralph Norman United States Representative

Joni Kanst

Joni Ernst United States Senator

ohn (ormyn

John Cornyn United States Senator

James Lankford United States Senator

Richard Burr United States Senator

Cindy Hyde-Smith United States Senator

Ted Cruz United States Senator

Roger F. Wicker United States Senator

Chuck Grandey

Chuck Grassley United States Senator

Tillis

Thom Tillis United States Senator

Jarsha Mackburn

Marsha Blackburn United States Senator

Mike Braun

Mike Braun United States Senator

Bill Hagerty United States Senator

M./Inlaps

James M. Inhofe United States Senator

Rick Scott United States Senator

M. N

Marco Rubio United States Senator

Leve !

Steve Daines United States Senator

Josh/Hawley United States Senator

K

Lindsey O. Graham United States Senator

Jumes

Kevin Cramer United States Senator

Tom Cit

Tom Cotton United States Senator

John Boozman United States Senator

KW. Mall

Roger Marshall, M.D. United States Senator

John Kennedy United States Senator

Bill Cassidy, M.D.

Bill Cassidy, M.D. United States Senator

James E. Risch United States Senator

Jerry Moran

Jerry Moran United States Senator

Allai

Michael S. Lee United States Senator

Mitt Romney United States Senator

Mike Johnson United States Representative

Richard Hudson United States Representative

uman

Jeff Duncan United States Representative

John Hoeven United States Senator

Todd Young United States Senator

Mike Kelly United States Representative

Gary Palmer United States Representative

Vicky Hartzler

Vicky Hartzler United States Representative

William R Jimmons D

William R. Timmons, IV United States Representative

Doug Lambour

Doug Lamborn United States Representative

Jeff Fortenberry United States Representative

Jake Ellzey United States Representative

Andy Biggs United States Representative

Joe Wilson United States Representative

Lis C Melain

Lisa McClain United States Representative

Bill Posey United States Representative

Glenn Grothman United States Representative

ignua (

Virginia Foxx United States Representative

Rick W. Allen United States Representative

m Lo M

Doug LaMalfa United States Representative

Ronny L. Jackson United States Representative

Lloyd Smucker United States Representative

Tim Walberg United States Representative

Debbie Lesko United States Representative

Chip Roy United States Representative

n Moderan

John Moolenar United States Representative

Lauren Boebert United States Representative

Dan Crenshaw United States Representative

en Cline

Ben Cline United States Representative

Tom Rice United States Representative

Ted Budd United States Representative

Camby K. Wilen

Randy K. Weber United States Representative

Brian Babin United States Representative

Blaine Luetkemeyer United States Representative

ennis

Roger Williams United States Representative

Nancy Mace United States Representative

Don Bacon United States Representative

Mary E Miller

Mary E. Miller United States Representative

AM KS , A

Matthew M. Rosendale United States Representative

Bill Huizenga United States Representative

Michael C. Burgess, M.D. United States Representative

Billy Long United States Representative

Austin Scott

Austin Scott United States Representative

Brian Mast United States Representative

1 1

Bob Good United States Representative

(Snor wismy

Pete Sessions United States Representative

Jody Hice United States Representative

Tony Gonzales United States Representative

Stephanie I. Bice

Stephanie Bice United States Representative

Wan Bishop

Dan Bishop United States Representative

This Litler

Julia Letlow United States Representative

Burgess Owens United States Representative

Byron Donalds United States Representative

Michael Cloud United States Representative

ulcher

Russ Fulcher United States Representative

Jack Bergman United States Representative

Daniel Webster

Daniel Webster United States Representative



Pat Fallon United States Representative

Michael Guest United States Representative

Rick Crawford United States Representative

Tom Emmer United States Representative

J_ Hogedo

Jim Hagedorn United States Representative

Hau M.D

Andy Harris, M.D. United States Representative

My B.MIL

David McKinley, P.E. United States Representative

110TTe

Yvette Herrell United States Representative

Dan Newhouse United States Representative

-1A

Jason Smith United States Representative

Ann Wagner United States Representative

Robert B. Aderholt United States Representative

Jul

W. Gregory Steube United States Representative

Ket Cammack

Kat Cammack United States Representative